

Baker-Akhiezer Modules on the Intersections of Shifted Theta Divisors

Koji Cho^{*}

Department of Mathematics,
Kyushu University

Andrey Mironov[†]

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics
and Novosibirsk State University

Atsushi Nakayashiki[‡]

Department of Mathematics,
Kyushu University

Abstract

The restriction, on the spectral variables, of the Baker-Akhiezer (BA) module of a g -dimensional principally polarized abelian variety with the non-singular theta divisor to an intersection of shifted theta divisors is studied. It is shown that the restriction to a k -dimensional variety becomes a free module over the ring of differential operators in k variables. The remaining $g - k$ derivations define evolution equations for generators of the BA-module. As a corollary new examples of commutative ring of partial differential operators with matrix coefficients and their non-trivial evolution equations are obtained.

^{*}e-mail: cho@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

[†]e-mail: mironov@math.nsc.ru

[‡]e-mail: 6vertex@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The Baker-Akhiezer(BA) module was introduced in [5, 6] in order to extend the theory of the BA function due to Krichever [1] to higher dimensions. It is a geometric counterpart of the $\mathcal{D}$ -module generated by the wave operator in Sato's theory of KP-hierarchy and universal Grassmann manifold.

A fundamental example of the BA function is a function on an elliptic curve of the form

$$\varphi(z; x) = \frac{\sigma(z+x)}{\sigma(z)\sigma(x)} e^{-x\zeta(z)},$$

where $\sigma(z)$, $\zeta(z)$ are Weierstrass' sigma and zeta functions. The corresponding BA-module is the $\mathcal{D}$ -module generated by $\varphi(z; x)$:

$$M = \mathcal{D}\varphi(z; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O} \partial_x^n \varphi(z; x),$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is a suitable ring of functions such as the convergent power series ring, its quotient field etc. and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}[\partial_x]$ is the ring of differential operators in x with the coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$. It is a rank one free module over $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[\wp(z), \wp'(z)]$ be the affine ring of the elliptic curve. An important property of the BA-module is that it is not only a $\mathcal{D}$ module but also an A -module. As a consequence A is embedded in $\mathcal{D}$ as a commutative subring.

Similarly, in the case of genus g algebraic curves, the BA-module becomes a $(\mathcal{D}_g, A)$ -bimodule, where $\mathcal{D}_g = \mathcal{O}[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_g]$ is the ring of differential operators in g variables and A is the affine ring of the curve. It becomes a rank one free module over the subring $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{O}[\partial_1]$ of operators in one variable and the affine ring A is embedded in $\mathcal{D}_1$. The action of the commuting derivations $\partial_2, \dots, \partial_g$ specifies evolution equations of the BA-module, or the deformation of the image of A in $\mathcal{D}$. In this way solutions of integrable nonlinear equations such as KP equation, KdV equation are constructed [1].

In [5] the BA-module of a g dimensional principally polarized Abelian variety (X, Θ) with a non-singular Θ is studied. It is proved that BA-module becomes a free $\mathcal{D}$ -module of rank $g!$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the ring of differential operators in g variables. Consequently the affine ring A of $X \setminus \Theta$ is embedded in the ring $Mat(g!, \mathcal{D})$ of differential operators with the coefficients in $g! \times g!$ matrices. However in this case there is no non-trivial deformation. To have deformations it is necessary to consider the BA-module of polarized subvarieties of (X, Θ) .

We consider an intersection Y^k of shifted theta divisors as a subvariety of X and the intersection Q^k of it with the theta divisor as a divisor, where k denotes the codimension of Y^k in X . We show that the restriction of the BA-module of (X, Θ) to Y^k is a free $\mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ module of rank $g!$, where $\mathcal{D}_i$ is the ring of differential operators in i variables. As a by-product we have the embedding of the affine ring of $Y^k \setminus Q^k$ in $Mat(g!, \mathcal{D}_{g-k})$ and k commuting derivations which specify the deformation of the image of it.

The simplest case of $g = 3$ and $k = 1$ is studied in [6]. The case of intersections of more general divisors are studied in [2]. Unfortunately the proof of the freeness

is incomplete in that paper. Other examples of BA-modules which have non-trivial deformations are studied in [7].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 the definition of BA-modules and the main result are given. The combinatorial properties of the restriction of the BA-module is studied in section 3. It is shown that the character of the associated graded module of the BA-module coincides with that of the free module. It means that as far as the dimension is concerned the restriction of the BA-module becomes a free module. In section 4 the proof of the main theorem is given based on the result of section 3.

2 Results

Let Ω be a point of the Siegel upper half space of degree g , X the corresponding principally polarized Abelian variety

$$X = \mathbb{C}^g / \Gamma, \quad \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega \mathbb{Z}^g,$$

$\theta_{a,b}(z)$ Riemann's theta function with the characteristic ${}^t(a, b), a, b \in \mathbb{R}^g$

$$\theta_{a,b}(z) = \theta_{a,b}(z, \Omega) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i {}^t(n + a)\Omega(n + a) + 2\pi i {}^t(n + a)(z + b)),$$

and Θ the theta divisor on X defined by the zero set of $\theta(z) = \theta_{0,0}(z)$. For $c \in \mathbb{C}^g$, $\mathcal{L}_c$ denotes the holomorphic flat line bundle on X which has $\theta(z+c)/\theta(z)$ as a meromorphic section. A meromorphic section of $\mathcal{L}_c$ can be considered as a meromorphic function $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^g$ which satisfies

$$f(z + m + \Omega n) = \exp(-2\pi i {}^t n c) f(z), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^g. \quad (1)$$

We denote $L_c(n)$ the space of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}_c$ whose poles are only on Θ of order at most n . It is known that $\dim L_c(n) = n^g$ and a linear basis is given by the functions $f_{n,a}(z + \frac{c}{n})/\theta(z)^n$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}^g/n\mathbb{Z}^g$, [4]

$$f_{n,a}(z) = \theta_{\frac{a}{n},0}(nz, n\Omega).$$

We define

$$L_c = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} L_c(n).$$

The subspaces $L_c(n)$ define an increasing filtration of L_c .

Set

$$\zeta_i(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \log \theta(z).$$

It satisfies, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^g$,

$$\zeta_j(z + m + \Omega n) = \zeta_j(z) - 2\pi i n_j.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the convergent power series ring in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$, $\mathcal{K}$ its quotient field, $\partial_{x_i} = \partial/\partial x_i$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{K}[\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_g}]$ the ring of differential operators with the coefficients in $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{D}(n) = \{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} a_\alpha \partial_x^\alpha \in \mathcal{D}\}$ the differential operators of order at most n and $\text{gr } \mathcal{D} = \oplus \mathcal{D}(n)/\mathcal{D}(n-1)$ the commutative ring of principal symbols.

In general, for a module with an increasing filtration $M = \cup_n M(n)$, the associated graded module is defined by

$$\text{gr } M = \oplus_n \text{gr}_n M, \quad \text{gr}_n M = M(n)/M(n-1).$$

Let

$$M_c(n) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^g / n\mathbb{Z}^g} \mathcal{K} \frac{f_{n,a}(z + \frac{c+x}{n})}{\theta(z)^n} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^g x_i \zeta_i(z)},$$

$$M_c = \cup_{n=0}^\infty M_c(n).$$

The space $M_c(n)$ is a n^g dimensional vector space over $\mathcal{K}$ and the subspaces $\{M_c(n)\}$ specify an increasing filtration of M_c . Then, for $c \notin \Gamma$,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{gr}_n M_c = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{gr}_n L_c = n^g - (n-1)^g, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

As a function of the variables z any element of M_c satisfies the equation (1). The differentiation in x_i preserves this equation. Thus M_c becomes a $\mathcal{D}$ -module. It is introduced in [5] and called the Baker-Akhiezer(BA) module of (X, Θ) . Since

$$\partial_{x_i} M_c(n) \subset M_c(n+1),$$

$\text{gr } M_c$ becomes a $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ -module.

Let A be the affine ring of $X \setminus \Theta$. Analytically it is described as

$$A = \left\{ \frac{F(z)}{\theta(z)^n} \mid F(z) \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbb{C}^g, \quad \frac{F}{\theta^n}(z + \gamma) = \frac{F}{\theta^n}(z) \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Gamma \right\}.$$

If $f(z)$ satisfies (1) and $a(z) \in A$ then $f(z)a(z)$ satisfies (1). Therefore L_c is an A -module. Consequently M_c becomes an A -module whose action obviously commutes with that of $\mathcal{D}$.

Remark In [5] the BA module is defined globally on the dual abelian variety $\widehat{X}$ of X as a sheaf of $\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{X}}$ -module by Fourier-Mukai transform, M_c being a scalar extension of the stalk at the point specified by c .

Let r_i , $i \geq 1$, be integers defined by

$$r_i = i^g - (i-1)^g - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_j \binom{g+i-j-1}{g-1}.$$

They satisfy $r_1 = 1$, $r_i = r_{g-i}$, $r_i = 0$ for $i > g$ and $\sum_{i=1}^g r_i = g!$ [5].

The following theorem is proved in [5].

Theorem 1 [5] Suppose that Θ is non-singular and $c \notin \mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega\mathbb{Z}^g$. Then $\text{gr } M_c$ is a free $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ -module of rank $g!$ and M_c is a free $\mathcal{D}$ -module of rank $g!$. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr } M_c &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}) \psi_{ij}, \quad \psi_{ij} \in \text{gr}_i M_c, \\ M_c &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{D} \phi_{ij}, \quad \phi_{ij} \in M_c(i), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where ψ_{ij} is the projection of ϕ_{ij} in $\text{gr}_i M_c$.

Let $\Phi = (\phi_{ij})$ be the column vector of dimension $g!$ and $\text{Mat}(m, \mathcal{D})$ the ring of $m \times m$ matrices with the components in $\mathcal{D}$. Since M_c is an A -module, for $a \in A$, there exists an element $\ell(a) \in \text{Mat}(g!, \mathcal{D})$ such that

$$a\Phi = \ell(a)\Phi.$$

It defines an embedding of A into $\text{Mat}(g!, \mathcal{D})$ as a ring. Thus A is realized as a commutative subring of the ring differential operators in g variables with matrix coefficients.

In this paper we shall extend Theorem 1 to the BA-modules on the intersections of shifted theta divisors.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}^g$ we set

$$\Theta_a = \{\theta(z - a) = 0\} \subset X.$$

Take $a_1, \dots, a_{g-1} \in \mathbb{C}^g$. Beginning from $(Y^0, Q^0) = (X, \Theta)$ we define (Y^k, Q^k) for $k \geq 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Y^k &= \Theta_{a_1} \cap \dots \cap \Theta_{a_k}, \\ Q^k &= Y^k \cap \Theta. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that, for any $k \leq g$, $\Theta_{a_1}, \dots, \Theta_{a_k}$ intersect transversally and so does $\Theta, \Theta_{a_1}, \dots, \Theta_{a_k}$. It means in particular that Y^k and Q^k are non-singular subvarieties of X of dimensions $g - k$ and $g - k - 1$ respectively. It can be shown that for generic $a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}$ the assumption is satisfied.

We denote the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_c$ to Y^k by the same symbol for simplicity. Let $\mathcal{L}_c(nQ^k)$ be the sheaf of germs of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}_c$ on Y^k with poles only on Q^k of order at most n . We set

$$L_c^k(n) = H^0(Y^k, \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^k)).$$

We define the space $\tilde{M}_c^k(n)$ as the set of functions of the form

$$f(z; x) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^g x_i \zeta_i(z)|_{Y^k}},$$

where $f(z; x)$ satisfies the following conditions.

There is an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^g$, which can depend on f , with the following properties.

- (i) For each $x \in U$ $f(z; x)$ belongs to $L_{c+x}^k(n)$ as a function of z .
- (ii) As a function of x $f(z; x)$ is analytic on U .

It is obvious that $\tilde{M}_c^k(n)$ is an $\mathcal{O}$ -module.

Lemma 1 *Let k satisfy $0 \leq k \leq g - 1$. Suppose that $c + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \notin \Gamma$ for any subset I of $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Then*

(i) $H^i(Y^k, \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^k)) = 0$, $i \neq 0, g - k$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) *The restriction map $L_c^{k-1}(n) \longrightarrow L_c^k(n)$ is surjective for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We have the following exact sequence and isomorphism:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^{k-1} - Y^k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^{k-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^k) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_c(nQ^{k-1} - Y^k) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{c+a_k}((n-1)Q^{k-1}). \quad (5)$$

The isomorphism (5) follows from $\mathcal{O}_X(-\Theta_a) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-\Theta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_a$. The assertion (i) can be proved by induction on k using the cohomology sequence of (4) and the vanishing [3]:

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{L}_c(n\Theta)) = 0,$$

for $i \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ or $i \geq 0$, $n = 0$ or $i \neq g$, $n < 0$. The assertion (ii) follows from the cohomology sequence of (4) and (i). ■

Lemma 2 *Assume the same conditions as in Lemma 1. Then $\dim L_c^k(n)$ does not depend on c and satisfies*

$$\dim L_c^k(n) = \dim L_c^{k-1}(n) - \dim L_c^{k-1}(n-1). \quad (6)$$

Proof. The lemma can easily be proved by induction using the cohomology sequence of (4), the isomorphism (5), (i) of Lemma 1 and the fact that $\dim L_c^0(n) = n^g$ for $n \geq 0$ if $c \notin \Gamma$. ■

Example. $\dim L_c^1(n) = n^g - (n-1)^g$ for $n \geq 1$.

$\dim L_c^2(n) = n^g - 2(n-1)^g + (n-2)^g$ for $n \geq 2$ and $\dim L_c^2(1) = 1$.

Lemma 3 *Assume the same conditions as in Lemma 2. Then $\tilde{M}_c^k$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$ -module of rank $\dim L_c^k(n)$.*

Proof. We take some basis $\{f_i(z)\}$ of $L_c^k(n)$ and lift it to $\{f_i(z; x)\}$ such that the conditions (i), (ii) are satisfied and $f_i(z; 0) = f_i(z)$. Then it gives a $\mathcal{O}$ -free basis of $\tilde{M}_c^k(n)$. Such analytic lift can be constructed among the functions $\{f_{n,a}(z + \frac{c+x}{n})\}$ restricted to Y^k since the restriction map $L_{c+x}^0(n) \rightarrow L_{c+x}^k(n)$ is surjective for x sufficiently close to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^g$ by (ii) of Lemma 1. ■

We set

$$M_c^k(n) = \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{M}_c^k, \quad M_c^k = \cup M_c^k(n).$$

The set of subspaces $\{M_c^k(n)\}$ defines an increasing filtration on M_c^k .

Let $\pi_k : M_c^k \longrightarrow M_c^{k+1}$ be the restriction map. It is surjective for $k \geq 0$ as shown above. In particular $\pi_{0k} := \pi_k \pi_{k-1} \cdots \pi_0 : M_c \longrightarrow M_c^k$ is surjective. Thus M_c^k can be directly described as the restriction of M_c to Y^k with respect to the z variables:

$$M_c^k = M_c|_{Y^k}.$$

It is obvious that the restriction in z variables commutes with the action of ∂_{x_i} . Therefore M_c^k becomes a $\mathcal{D}$ -module. Moreover the action of ∂_{x_i} satisfies $\partial_{x_i} M_c^k(n) \subset M_c^k(n+1)$. Thus $\text{gr } M_c^k$ becomes a $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ module. The main result of this paper is

Theorem 2 *Suppose that Θ is non-singular and $c + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \notin \Gamma$ for any subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, g-1\}$. Then there exists a set of linear independent vector fields $D_i = \sum_{j=1}^g c_{ij} \partial_{x_j}$, c_{ij} being constants, such that the following properties are valid.*

(i) *Let $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{K}[D_1, \dots, D_i]$. Then M_c^k is a free $\mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ module of rank $g!$. More precisely it is of the form*

$$M_c^k = \oplus_{i=1}^g \oplus_{j=1}^{r_i} \mathcal{D}_{g-k} \phi_{ij}^k, \quad \phi_{ij}^k \in M_c^k(i).$$

(ii) *The module $\text{gr } M_c^k$ is a free $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ module of rank $g!$. More precisely it is of the form*

$$\text{gr } M_c^k = \oplus_{i=1}^g \oplus_{j=1}^{r_i} (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}) \psi_{ij}^k, \quad \psi_{ij}^k \in \text{gr}_i M_c^k,$$

where ψ_{ij}^k is the projection of ϕ_{ij}^k in $\text{gr}_i M_c^k$ and the filtration of $\mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ is specified by $\mathcal{D}_k(n) = \mathcal{D}_k \cap \mathcal{D}(n)$.

Let $\Phi^k = (\phi_{ij}^k)$ be the column vector and A^{g-k} be the affine ring of $Y^k \setminus Q^k$. We have $\Phi^0 = \Phi$, $A^0 = A$. The ring A^{g-k} acts on M_c^k . Thus for any $a \in A^{g-k}$ there exists a unique operator $\ell^k(a) \in \text{Mat}(g!, \mathcal{D}_{g-k})$ such that

$$a\Phi^k = \ell^k(a)\Phi^k.$$

It defines an embedding of A^{g-k} in $\text{Mat}(g!, \mathcal{D}_{g-k})$. Since M_c^k is a $\mathcal{D}$ -module and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_g$, for D_i with $g-k+1 \leq i \leq g$, there exists a unique operator $B_i^k \in \text{Mat}(g!, \mathcal{D}_{g-k})$ such that

$$D_i \Phi^k = B_i^k \Phi^k.$$

Those operators satisfy, for any a, b, i, j ,

$$\begin{aligned} [\ell^k(a), \ell^k(b)] &= 0, \\ [D_i - B_i^k, D_j - B_j^k] &= 0, \\ [D_i - B_i^k, \ell^k(a)] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

3 Combinatorial freeness

For a graded $\mathcal{K}$ -vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} V_n$ such that each V_n is finite dimensional we define the character $\text{ch } V$ by

$$\text{ch } V = \sum (\dim_{\mathcal{K}} V_n) t^n.$$

Obviously

$$\text{ch gr } \mathcal{D}_j = \frac{1}{(1-t)^j}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch gr } M_c^0 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^g - (n-1)^g) t^n \\ &= (1-t) \left(t \frac{d}{dt} \right)^g (1-t)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\psi_{ij}\}$ be a $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ -free basis of $\text{gr } M_c$ as in Theorem 1 and $F = \bigoplus \mathcal{K} \psi_{ij}$ the subspace of $\text{gr } M_c$. By Theorem 1 $\text{gr } M_c \simeq (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_g) \otimes F$. The module F naturally inherits a grading from $\text{gr } M_c$. Then

$$\text{ch gr } M_c = (\text{ch gr } \mathcal{D}_g) \cdot \text{gr } F = (1-t)^{-g} \sum_{i=1}^g r_i t^i.$$

Example For $g = 1, 2, 3, 4$ $\text{ch gr } M_c$ is given by

$$\frac{t}{(1-t)}, \quad \frac{t+t^2}{(1-t)^2}, \quad \frac{t+4t^2+t^3}{(1-t)^3}, \quad \frac{t+11t^2+11t^3+t^4}{(1-t)^4}.$$

Lemma 4 Assume the same conditions for $c, a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}$ as in Theorem 2. Then

$$(i) \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{gr}_n M_c^{j+1} = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{gr}_n M_c^j - \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{gr}_{n-1} M_c^j.$$

$$(ii) \text{ch gr } M_c^{j+1} = (1-t) \text{ch gr } M_c^j.$$

Proof. The assertion (ii) follows from (i) and (i) follows from Lemma 2 and 3. ■

By Lemma 4 we have

$$\text{ch gr } M_c^j = (1-t)^{-(g-j)} \sum_{i=1}^g a_i t^i = (\text{ch } \mathcal{D}_{g-j}) \cdot \text{ch } F. \quad (7)$$

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Notice that (i) of Theorem 2 follows from (ii) of Theorem 2.

We shall prove

Proposition 1 *Assume the same conditions as in Theorem 2. Set $y^{(0)} = (y_1^{(0)}, \dots, y_g^{(0)}) = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$. Then, for each $k \geq 1$ there exist a linear change of the coordinates from $y^{(k-1)} = (y_1^{(k-1)}, \dots, y_{g-k+1}^{(k-1)})$ to $y^{(k)} = (y_1^{(k)}, \dots, y_{g-k+1}^{(k)})$ and $\psi_{ij}^k \in \text{gr}_i M_c^k$, $1 \leq i \leq g$, $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ such that the following properties hold. Let $\mathcal{D}_{g-k} = \mathcal{K}[\partial_{y_1^{(k)}}, \dots, \partial_{y_{g-k}^{(k)}}]$, $\mathcal{D}_{g-k}(n) = \mathcal{D}_{g-k} \cap \mathcal{D}(n)$ and $\xi_i^{(k)}$ the image of $\partial_{y_i^{(k)}}$ in $\text{gr}_1 \mathcal{D}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{g-k+1}^{(k)} \psi_{ij}^k &\in \sum_{i'j'} (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}) \psi_{i'j'}^k, \\ \text{gr } M_c^k &= \oplus_{i=1}^g \oplus_{j=1}^{r_i} (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}) \psi_{ij}^k. \end{aligned}$$

If we define, for $1 \leq k \leq g$, $D_{g-k+1} = \partial_{y_{g-k+1}^{(k)}}$, then Theorem 2 (ii) follows from this proposition.

We prove the proposition by induction on k , where the case of $k = 0$ is established by Theorem 1. We assume that the proposition is valid for k if $c + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \notin \Gamma$ for any subset I of $\{1, \dots, k\}$. We shall prove that the proposition is true for $k + 1$ if $c + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \notin \Gamma$ for any subset I of $\{1, \dots, k + 1\}$.

Let us set

$$\tilde{\psi}_{ij}^{k+1} = \psi_{ij}^k|_{Y^{k+1}}.$$

Lemma 5 *For each (ij) there exist a non-zero element $P_{ij} \in \text{gr}_{N^{ij}} \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ for some $N^{ij} \geq 0$ and a linear change of the coordinates from $(y_1^{(k)}, \dots, y_{g-k}^{(k)})$ to $(y_1^{(k+1)}, \dots, y_{g-k}^{(k+1)})$ such that the following properties hold.*

(i) $P_{ij} \tilde{\psi}_{ij}^{k+1} = 0$ in $\text{gr } M_c^{k+1}$.

(ii) Let $\xi_i = \xi_i^{(k+1)}$. Then P_{ij} is of the form

$$P_{ij} = \xi_{g-k}^{N^{ij}} + \sum_{|\alpha| = N^{ij}, \alpha_{g-k} < N^{ij}} a_{ij;\alpha} \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_{g-k}^{\alpha_{g-k}},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-k})$, $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{g-k} \alpha_i$.

Proof. (i) By (7) the dimension of $\text{gr}_n M_c^{k+1}$ is a polynomial in n of degree $g - k - 2$ for sufficiently large n . If there are no non-trivial linear relations among $\xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_{g-k}^{\alpha_{g-k}} \tilde{\psi}_{ij}^{k+1}$, $\sum \alpha_i = n - i$ for any n , then $\dim_{\mathcal{K}} \left((\text{gr}_{n-i} \mathcal{D}_{g-k}) \tilde{\psi}_{ij}^{k+1} \right) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{gr}_{n-i} \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ and it is a polynomial in n of degree $g - k - 1$ for sufficiently large n . Thus there should be a relation as in the assertion.

(ii) Let us write

$$P_{ij} = \sum_{\sum \alpha_l = N^{ij}} q_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-k}} \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_{g-k}^{\alpha_{g-k}},$$

Changing the name of the variables if necessary one can assume that $q_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-k}} \neq 0$ for some $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-k})$ with $\alpha_{g-k} \neq 0$. If $q_{0, \dots, 0, N^{ij}} \neq 0$ then we get the desired element by dividing P_{ij} by $q_{0, \dots, 0, N^{ij}} \neq 0$. If this is not the case, we consider the change of the variables of the form

$$\xi_i^{(k)} = \sum_{l=i}^{g-k} c_{i,l} \xi_l^{(k+1)}.$$

Let $c_i = c_{i, g-k}$. Then in the resulting expression of P_{ij} the coefficient of $(\xi_{g-k}^{(k+1)})^{N^{ij}}$ is

$$\sum_{|\alpha| = N^{ij}} c_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots c_{g-k}^{\alpha_{g-k}} q_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{g-k}}. \quad (8)$$

This is a non-zero homogeneous polynomial in $c_1, \dots, c_{g-k}$. Thus it is non-zero on a non-empty open subset of $\mathbf{C}^{g-k}$. Take a point of it, make a change of the coordinates and dividing P_{ij} by (8) we get a desired result. ■

Let

$$\text{gr } \pi_k : \text{gr } M_c^k \longrightarrow \text{gr } M_c^{k+1}$$

be the restriction map induced by π_k and $K^k = \oplus K_n^k$ the kernel of $\text{gr } \pi_k$. Since $\text{gr } \pi_k$ is a homomorphism of $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ -modules, K^k is a $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ submodule of $\text{gr } M_c^k$. We denote by $\tilde{K}^k = \oplus \tilde{K}_n^k$ the $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ module obtained from K^k by shifting the grading by -1 , that is, $\tilde{K}_n^k = K_{n+1}^k$.

Lemma 6 *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_n M_{c+a_{k+1}}^k &\longrightarrow K_{n+1}^k \\ \phi(z) &\mapsto \frac{\theta(z - a_{k+1})}{\theta(z)} \phi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

gives an isomorphism of $\text{gr } M_{c+a_{k+1}}^k$ and $\tilde{K}^k$ as $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ -modules.

Proof. We can assume $g - k \geq 2$. Using Lemma 1 (i), (5) and the cohomology sequence of (4) we have

$$\text{Ker}(\pi_k|_{M_c^k(n)}) = \frac{\theta(z - a_{k+1})}{\theta(z)}|_{Y^k} M_{c+a_{k+1}}^k(n-1). \quad (10)$$

Let $\text{gr}_n (\mathcal{L}_c(-mY^{k+1})|_{Y^k})$ be the sheaf on Y^k defined by the exact sequence;

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_c((n-1)Q^k - mY^{k+1}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^k - mY^{k+1}) \\ &\rightarrow \text{gr}_n (\mathcal{L}_c(-mY^{k+1})|_{Y^k}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then one can easily verify that the following is an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_n (\mathcal{L}_c(-Y^{k+1})|_{Y^k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_n (\mathcal{L}_c|_{Y^k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_n (\mathcal{L}_c|_{Y^{k+1}}) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (12)$$

By the cohomology sequence of (11) with $m = 0$ the natural map

$$\mathrm{gr}_n L_c^k := L_c^k(n)/L_c^k(n-1) \longrightarrow H^0(Y^k, \mathrm{gr}_n(\mathcal{L}_c|_{Y^k})) \quad (13)$$

is always injective and becomes isomorphic if $g - k \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{gr}_n L_c^k \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_n L_c^{k+1}) &\simeq H^0(Y^k, \mathrm{gr}_n(\mathcal{L}_c(-Y^{k+1})|_{Y^k})) \\ &\simeq \frac{H^0(Y^k, \mathcal{L}_c(nQ^k - Y^{k+1}))}{H^0(Y^k, \mathcal{L}_c((n-1)Q^k - Y^{k+1}))} \\ &\simeq \frac{\theta(z - a_{k+1})}{\theta(z)}|_{Y^k} \mathrm{gr}_{n-1} L_{c+a_{k+1}}^k, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the cohomology sequences of (12), (11), Lemma 1 (i), (5), (10). ■

If $c + a_{k+1} + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \notin \Gamma$ for any subset I of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ the induction hypothesis can be applied to $M_{c+a_{k+1}}^k$. By the lemma and the assumption of induction K^k is described as

$$\begin{aligned} K^k &= \oplus_{i=1}^g \oplus_{j=1}^{r_i} (\mathrm{gr} \mathcal{D}_{g-k}) \varphi_{ij}, \\ \varphi_{ij} &= \frac{\theta(z - a_{k+1})}{\theta(z)} \psi_{ij}^k|_{c \rightarrow c+a_{k+1}} \in K_{i+1}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_{ij} \in \mathrm{gr}_{i+1} M_c^k$, it can be written as a linear combination of $\{\psi_{ij}^k\}$ with the coefficients in $\mathrm{gr} \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ as

$$\varphi_{ij} = \sum Q_{ij;i'j'} \psi_{i'j'}^k, \quad Q_{ij;i'j'} = \sum_{|\alpha|+i'=i+1} q_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha \xi^\alpha. \quad (14)$$

By (i) of Lemma 5 we have $P_{ij} \psi_{ij}^k \in K_{i+N^{ij}}^k$. Thus it can be written as a linear combination of $\{\varphi_{ij}\}$ with the coefficients in $\mathrm{gr} \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ as

$$P_{ij} \psi_{ij}^k = \sum R_{ij;i'j'} \varphi_{i'j'}, \quad R_{ij;i'j'} = \sum_{|\alpha|+i'+1=i+N^{ij}} r_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha \xi^\alpha. \quad (15)$$

Composing these relations we get

$$P_{ij} \psi_{ij}^k = \sum R_{ij;i'j'} Q_{i'j';i''j''} \psi_{i''j''}^k. \quad (16)$$

In the matrix form it is written as

$$P = RQ, \quad (17)$$

where $P = (P_{ij})$ is the diagonal matrix and $R = (R_{ij;i'j'})$, $Q = (Q_{ij;i'j'})$ are $g! \times g!$ matrices.

We shall construct a basis $\{\widehat{\psi}_{ij}^k\}$ of $\text{gr } M_c^k$ as a $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ -module modifying $\{\psi_{ij}^k\}$ appropriately such that they satisfy

$$\xi_{g-k}\widehat{\psi}_{ij}^k \in \sum_{i' \leq i+1} \mathcal{K}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{g-k-1}] \widehat{\psi}_{lm}^k + K^k. \quad (18)$$

To this end we use the relation (14). Let us write it more explicitly as

$$\varphi_{ij} = \sum q_{ij;i+1j'} \psi_{i+1,j'}^k + \sum_{l=1}^{g-k} \sum_{j'} q_{ij;ij'}^l \xi_l \psi_{ij'}^k + \sum_{i' < i, |\alpha|+i'=i+1} q_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha \xi^\alpha \psi_{i'j'}^k. \quad (19)$$

For the sake of simplicity we identify $q_{ij;ij'}^l$ with $q_{ij;ij'}^{0,\dots,0,l}$.

We construct $\{\widehat{\psi}_{ij}^k\}$ satisfying the property (18) by induction on i .

Let us consider the case $i = 1$. Then the equation (19) becomes

$$\varphi_{11} = \sum q_{11;2j} \psi_{2j}^k + \sum_{l=1}^{g-k} q_{11;11}^l \xi_l \psi_{11}^k.$$

We proceed by dividing the case.

(i) the case $q_{11;11}^{g-k} \neq 0$. In this case (18) holds for $(ij) = (11)$ by defining $\widehat{\psi}_{11}^k = \psi_{11}^k$ and $\widehat{\psi}_{2j}^k = \psi_{2j}^k$.

(ii) the case $q_{11;11}^{g-k} = 0$ and $q_{11;2j} \neq 0$ for some j . In this case we modify ψ_{2j}^k to $\widehat{\psi}_{2j}^k = \psi_{2j}^k - \xi_{g-k} \psi_{11}^k$. Correspondingly the operators P_{ij} is changed. We can take the product $P_{2j} P_{11}$ as the operator for $\widehat{\psi}_{2j}^k$, since $P_{2j} P_{11} \widehat{\psi}_{2j}^k = 0$. We set $\widehat{\psi}_{2j'}^k = \psi_{2j'}^k$ for $j' \neq j$ and $\widehat{\psi}_{11}^k = \psi_{11}^k$. Then (18) holds for $(ij) = (11)$.

(iii) the case $q_{11;11}^{g-k} = 0$ and $q_{11;2j} = 0$ for all j . This case is impossible. In fact, suppose that this is the case. We consider the equation (17) modulo the ideal

$$I = \sum_{l=1}^{g-k-1} (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}) \xi_l.$$

Then the right hand side is degenerate while the left hand side is non-degenerate.

As a whole we have constructed $\widehat{\psi}_{ij}^k$, $i \leq 2$ such that (18) holds for $(ij) = (11)$.

Assume that $\widehat{\psi}_{i'j'}^k$, $i' \leq i$, $1 \leq j' \leq r_{i'}$, are constructed in such a way that (18) holds for $(i'j')$, $i' < i$. As a consequence of the change from $\{\psi_{i'j'}^k | i' \leq i\}$ to $\{\widehat{\psi}_{i'j'}^k | i' \leq i\}$ the matrices P , Q , R may be changed. However their properties that the equation (17) holds and P is non-degenerate remain valid. Therefore we use the same symbol P , Q , R and their components in the argument below for the sake of simplicity.

Using the relation (18) for $\widehat{\psi}_{i'j'}^k$ for $i' < i$, the equation (14) for φ_{ij} can be written as

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} = \sum q_{ij;i+1j'} \psi_{i+1,j'}^k + \sum_{l=1}^{g-k} \sum_{j'=1}^{r_i} \tilde{q}_{ij;ij'}^l \xi_l \widehat{\psi}_{ij'}^k + \sum_{i' < i, |\alpha|+i'=i+1, \alpha_{g-k}=0} \tilde{q}_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha \xi^\alpha \widehat{\psi}_{i'j'}^k,$$

for some $\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} \in K_{i+1}^k$ and some $\tilde{q}_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha$, $i' \leq i$. Here $q_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha$ changes to $\tilde{q}_{ij;i'j'}^\alpha$ as a consequence of the use of (18). Notice that to use (18), as to the effect on the matrix Q , is to make fundamental transformations in rows of Q .

We have

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} = \sum q_{ij;i+1j'} \psi_{i+1,j'}^k + \sum_{j'=1}^{r_i} \tilde{q}_{ij;ij'}^{g-k} \xi_{g-k} \psi_{ij'}^k \quad \text{mod. } \text{Igr } M_c^k.$$

The rank of the $r_i \times (r_i + r_{i+1})$ matrix

$$\left((q_{ij;i+1j'})_{1 \leq j' \leq r_{i+1}}, (\tilde{q}_{ij;ij'}^{g-k})_{1 \leq j' \leq r_i} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq r_i}$$

is maximal. For, otherwise it contradicts the non-degeneracy of the matrix P . Thus, as in the case of $i = 1$, modifying $\psi_{i+1j'}^k$ to $\widehat{\psi}_{i+1j'}^k = \psi_{i+1j'}^k - \xi_{g-k} \psi_{ij''}^k$ for some j' and j'' if necessary, we get $\{\widehat{\psi}_{i'j'}^k \mid i' \leq i + 1\}$ such that (18) holds for (i', j') , $i' \leq i$. Notice that, in the last step $i = g$, $\tilde{q}_{g+11;g1}^{g-k} \neq 0$ is automatic.

Thus a $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$ -free basis $\{\widehat{\psi}_{ij}^k\}$ of $\text{gr } M_c^k$ satisfying the condition (18) is constructed. Set

$$\psi_{ij}^{k+1} = \widehat{\psi}_{ij}^k|_{Y^{k+1}}.$$

Then (18) implies that

$$\xi_{g-k} \psi_{ij}^{k+1} \in \sum \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k-1} \psi_{ij'}^{k+1}. \quad (20)$$

Lemma 7 *If $c + \sum_{i \in I} a_i \notin \Gamma$ for any subset I of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, the restriction map $\text{gr } \pi_k$ is surjective.*

Proof. The lemma can be proved by a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 6. ■

By the lemma and the assumption of induction on $\text{gr } M_c^k$, $\text{gr } M_c^{k+1}$ is generated by $\{\psi_{ij}^{k+1}\}$ over $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k}$. Then (20) implies that

$$\text{gr } M_c^{k+1} = \sum (\text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{g-k-1}) \psi_{ij}^{k+1}.$$

It follows from (7) that the sum of the right hand side is a direct sum. This completes the proof of Proposition 1. ■

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 17340048.

References

- [1] I.M. Krichever, Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of non-linear equations, *Russian. Math. Surveys* **32:6** (1977), 185-213.
- [2] A.E. Mironov, Commutative rings of differential operators corresponding to multidimensional algebraic varieties, *Siberian Math. J.* **43** (2002), 888-898.
- [3] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, Oxford University Press, 1970.
- [4] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta I*, Birkhauser, 1983.
- [5] A. Nakayashiki, Structure of Baker-Akhiezer modules of principally polarized abelian varieties, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), 315-358.
- [6] A. Nakayashiki, Commuting partial differential operators and vector bundles over abelian varieties, *Amer. J. Math.* **116** (1994), 65-100.
- [7] M. Rothstein, Dynamics of the Krichever construction in several variables, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **572** (2004), 111-138.